

MOODY'S

INVESTORS SERVICE

Credit Opinion: **Lenenergo, JSC**

Global Credit Research - 28 Feb 2014

St. Petersburg, Russia

Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Stable
Corporate Family Rating	Ba2
NSR Corporate Family Rating -Dom Curr	Aa2.ru
Parent: Russian Grids, JSC	
Outlook	Stable
Corporate Family Rating	Ba1
NSR Corporate Family Rating -Dom Curr	Aa1.ru

Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Ekaterina Botvinova/Moscow	7.495.228.6060
Helen Francis/London	44.20.7772.5454
Monica Merli/London	

Key Indicators

Lenenergo, JSC[1]	LTM H1 2013	12/31/2012	12/31/2011	12/31/2010	12/31/2009
FFO Interest Coverage [2]	3.8x	4.5x	3.1x	5.1x	5.1x
Net Debt / Net Fixed Assets	34.1%	31.1%	28.1%	21.8%	23.6%
FFO / Net Debt [2]	22.5%	28.9%	16.2%	38.1%	32.3%
RCF / Capex	0.5x	0.7x	0.3x	1.1x	0.9x
Debt / EBITDA	3.4x	2.8x	2.8x	1.5x	2.2x
EBITA margin	17.0%	17.9%	15.9%	22.6%	20.3%

[1] All ratios are calculated according to Moody's standard analytical adjustments, if not mentioned otherwise. [2] FFO figures incorporate a non-standard adjustment (reclassification of cash advances for network connections).

Note: For definitions of Moody's most common ratio terms please see the accompanying [User's Guide](#).

Opinion

Rating Drivers

Low level of predictability of the domestic grid sector regulation

Capped tariff growth under the Russian government's current policy orientation

Significant investment programme

Weakening economy and the risk of continuing decrease in electricity consumption

Some ability to adjust the investment programme in light of allowed tariff growth

A degree of state support from the company's shareholders

Corporate Profile

Headquartered in the city of St. Petersburg, JSC Lenenergo (Lenenergo) is one of Russia's major regional electricity distribution grid companies, focused on the St. Petersburg region (including the city of St. Petersburg and the Leningrad oblast). Lenenergo is a regulated natural monopoly, whose electricity transmission revenues accounted for around 75.1% of its 2012 total revenues of RUB38.1 billion, or around \$1.2 billion (before normal technological losses). The largest shareholder of Lenenergo is state-controlled Russian Grids (Ba1 stable), which directly holds 53.41% and indirectly, through another subsidiary, IDGC of Urals (Ba2 stable), owns an additional 7.15% of Lenenergo's voting shares. A blocking stake of 26.57% of voting shares is owned by the government of the city of St. Petersburg (Baa1 stable).

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

Lenenergo's Ba2/Aa2.ru ratings incorporate: (1) the higher risk of the company's regulated grid business in Russia compared with the generally low business risk profiles of its peers in developed markets; (2) the company's financial profile and liquidity, which are pressured by its large investment programme; and (3) some degree of shareholder/state support available to Lenenergo given its advantageous shareholder structure and its strategic role in the economy of the St. Petersburg region, one of the wealthiest regions in Russia. The company's higher business risk is attributed to regulatory pressure and uncertainty facing the Russian grid sector. Moody's incorporates a one-notch uplift in Lenenergo's ratings for the support from its shareholders.

EVOLVING REGULATION IN RUSSIAN GRID SECTOR REMAINS KEY RATING CONSTRAINT

The evolving regulation of the Russian electricity grid sector is a key risk and a key component in determining the ratings of domestic grids, including Lenenergo, largely suppressing differences in rated grids' credit profiles. Electricity transmission tariffs remain subject to political considerations within the Regulatory Asset Base (RAB) model, which was introduced for the major part of the domestic grid sector in 2011 to improve transparency of tariff setting and attract investments to the sector but has not been consistently applied. Since early 2011, there have been continuous revisions of the regulation, driven by the government's efforts to cap electricity tariff growth for end users and support economic growth. The chain of revisions has culminated in the tariff freeze for 2014 and an inflation-linked tariff growth cap to be applied from 2015; the tariff freeze guidance relate to all natural monopolies. Under the tariff plans approved in 2012, tariff growth for grids was limited to 9.4%-10% from both 1 July 2014 and 1 July 2015; the September-2013 tariff freeze proposal implied no increase and a 4.8% growth cap, respectively, from these dates.

In December 2013, tariff decisions under the new tariff freeze guidance have been taken for the majority of distribution grids, including Lenenergo. We positively note that, in 2014, Lenenergo will continue to see a somewhat higher average tariff growth rate as an exception allowed by the regulators recognizing particularly low tariff increases for Lenenergo until 2012, the company's strategic importance and significant investment needs. However, in our view, these exceptional tariff decisions do not protect the company from the risk of increasing regulatory pressure and uncertainty facing all grid businesses in the weakening economic conditions.

LOWER INVESTMENT CAPACITY ASSOCIATED WITH LOWER TARIFF GROWTH IS LIKELY TO BE ADDRESSED WITH STATE SUPPORT

Lenenergo benefits from a higher-than-average tariff growth rate. However, the company's transmission tariffs remain insufficient to make the company profitable without getting an additional regulated revenue stream from connection charges (around 8% of H1 2013 total revenue) sourced mainly from new and/or expanding businesses. At the same time, the company's investment burden is higher compared to many other distribution grids. Similar to its domestic peers, Lenenergo remains challenged by inadequate through-tariff coverage for its large investment needs and dependent on the degree of linkage between tariff decisions and its investment programmes established by the regulators. Whether this linkage will be maintained has yet to be seen as investment programmes of Russian Grids' subsidiaries, including Lenenergo, are currently being reviewed with approval by the government expected by April 2014. We note that, while the majority of the subsidiaries are discussing a reduction of their investment programmes with the government, Lenenergo may even increase

investments compared to its previous plan.

Overall, even if the degree of linkage between tariff decisions and investment programmes of Russian Grids' subsidiaries, including Lenenergo, were to weaken in the next 12-18 months, we would expect this to be temporary and to be at least partially compensated by state or state-related funding sources. Given the reduced capacity to fund investments from internally generated sources in the tariff-growth-capped environment, domestic grids have filled the funding gap mainly with debt finance. Owing to the evolving regulation, it would be difficult for grids to find investors other than the government. Lenenergo is among few distribution grids that received equity injections in the very recent past.

LARGE INVESTMENT NEEDS LEAVE THE COMPANY WITH LIMITED FLEXIBILITY

Lenenergo is challenged by a 2012-dated large investment programme for 2012-17 of around RUB87.9 billion (\$2.5 billion), including VAT; with around two thirds of the investments having been scheduled for 2014-17. Currently, the programme is under review and likely to increase in the interests of economic development of the company's service region. Moody's understands that the government believes that the old asset base of the company, which suffered from underinvestment of the past, creates barriers for the development of the regional economy. As a result, Lenenergo's flexibility in delaying investments may be limited. Following an upward revision of its programme, we expect that the company will remain free cash flow (FCF) negative and exposed to significant execution risk.

WEAKENING ECONOMY MAY INCREASE REGULATORY PRESSURE AND AFFECT CASH FLOW GENERATION

Notwithstanding Lenenergo's strategic importance, we believe that the company remains exposed to the risk of government-initiated new tariff revisions in weakening economic conditions. New revisions may follow if the government's policy fails to encourage economic growth and electricity consumption, which decreased by 0.7% in 2013 in conjunction with a slowdown of GDP growth. As a result, given their outdated asset base, domestic grids, including Lenenergo, may have to face a wider funding gap between investment needs and internal cash generation sources. Though a reduction in electricity consumption in Lenenergo's service region is seen by regulatory authorities as attributable to a high degree to insufficient investments in the grid infrastructure, there is no certainty that infrastructure improvements will lead to higher consumption, particularly in the weakening economic environment of 2014.

FINANCIAL PROFILE REMAINS UNDER PRESSURE FROM SIGNIFICANT INVESTMENTS

Lenenergo's financial profile as of mid-2013 was more leveraged in comparison with those of similarly rated Russian peers, with debt/EBITDA having exceeded 3x. However, it remained broadly in line with the current rating, given cushion under interest and debt coverage metrics: in mid-2013, on a last-12-months basis, funds from operations (FFO) interest coverage was 3.8x and FFO/net debt was 22.5%. All metrics are calculated using Moody's adjustments.

We factor into Lenenergo's rating our expectation of a deterioration of the company's financial profile, owing to its significant investment programme and capped tariffs. Additional pressure may be created if connection charge proceeds appeared more volatile than expected in the weakening economy and, contrary to the government's expectation, Lenenergo's investments will not drive up electricity consumption in the region. In our view, depending on an increase of the investment programme, which is under discussion, the deterioration of the financial profile may even go beyond our guidance for the current rating, FFO Interest coverage of 3x and FFO/Net Debt in midteens. However, this is not our base case scenario for the company at the moment.

We currently expect Lenenergo to be able to remain in line with our current rating guidance in the next 12-18 months or to reasonably limit any deviation from the current rating guidance and restore its financial metrics shortly thereafter, based on the support from its major shareholders, the state-controlled Russian Grids and the government of the city of St. Petersburg. Both shareholders are expected to be able to (1) help the company adjust its investment plans to available funding and reasonably prudent leverage targets and also (2) facilitate its access to external funding to comfort its liquidity profile.

Liquidity Profile

Lenenergo's liquidity position remains dependent on its ability to attract reasonably in advance funding for its investment programme and maintain a long-term debt maturity profile. At the same time, being a monopoly business, Lenenergo is required to attract bank resources under time-consuming tender procedures, which may

limit its flexibility in procuring additional liquidity. As it is not uncommon in Russia, Lenenergo's liquidity management policy has largely factored in its status of a state-related monopoly and established relationship with state-owned banks and does not necessarily require funding needs to be addressed far in advance.

In mid-2013, the company's liquidity position was stressed as Lenenergo violated covenants under a loan issued by state-owned Vnesheconombank and so early repayment may have been triggered. However, bank creditors, which are largely state-owned banks, were expectedly supportive, imposing no pressure on the company. Moreover, in October 2013, Lenenergo voluntarily paid up this loan and now has no financial covenants under its debt obligations. According to management's accounts, as of the beginning of 2014, Lenenergo has a long-term debt maturity profile, with short-term debt obligations accounting for less than 10% of its total debt and being covered by cash reserves and short-term deposits.

We acknowledge Lenenergo's good relationship with state-owned banks and expect the latter to remain supportive for the company. We understand that Lenenergo continues to negotiate long-term bank funding to facilitate its investments. We also positively note Lenenergo's access to domestic bond market: in 2012-13 Lenenergo placed two 3-yr domestic bond at the amount of RUB3 billion each. Overall, state-related shareholder support, which was particularly confirmed by 2012 cash equity injection, helps the company to mitigate pressure on its liquidity and financial profile from significant investments. In our view, the liquidity pressures are currently accommodated under the company's rating due to the support from shareholders.

Rating Outlook

The rating outlook is stable, as we believe that the company has sound and prudent plans to develop and adjust its business in close interaction with its shareholders taking into account the availability of funding, tariff evolution and the wider economic environment.

What Could Change the Rating - Up

Positive momentum for Lenenergo's rating could emerge if, in Moody's view, there were better visibility on the mid-to long-term evolution of its financial profile against the backdrop of the evolving regulatory environment and weak economic conditions. In Moody's view, the approval of Lenenergo's investment programme and government's funding for the company later this year could add clarity if these positive developments were not offset by a further weakening of the domestic economy and/or the company's weakening operating and financial performance.

What Could Change the Rating - Down

Moody's could downgrade the rating if negative pressure is exerted on the Russian sovereign rating. Negative pressure on the rating could also result from (1) signs of weakening support from the government; (2) a negative shift in the developing regulatory regime without compensatory measures by the state leading to significantly deteriorating margins; (3) pressured liquidity; (4) a failure of the company to manage its investment programmes in line with the tariff regulation and contain deterioration of their financial profiles, with FFO interest coverage and FFO/net debt falling materially and persistently below 3.0x and mid-teens, respectively.

Other Considerations

GRID-INDICATED ASSESSMENT

Moody's evaluates Lenenergo's ratings by applying the Rating Methodology for Regulated Electric and Gas Networks. Based on the company's historical financial performance, the methodology grid would map Lenenergo to a Baa3 category. Similar to other rated Russian electric utilities, the gap between the grid-indicated assessment and the actual rating incorporates a degree of uncertainty associated with the long-term evolution of Lenenergo's financial profile in the immature Russian operating environment. At the same time, pressured by the capped tariff growth and large investments, Lenenergo's financial profile is expected by Moody's to weaken in the next 12-18 months, though within the current rating. As a result, the gap between the grid-indicated assessment and the rating is likely to narrow.

Rating Factors

Lenenergo, JSC

Regulated Electric and Gas Networks Grid

Current

[3]Moody's 12-18 Month Forward

[1][2]	12/31/2012	
	Measure	Score
Factor 1: Regulatory Environment & Asset Ownership Model (40%)		
Stability and Predictability of Regulatory Regime	B	B
Asset Ownership Model	Aaa	Aaa
Cost and Investment Recovery	Ba	Ba
Revenue Risk	Ba	Ba
Factor 2: Efficiency & Execution Risk (10%)		
Cost Efficiency	Ba	Ba
Scale and Complexity of Capital Programme	B	B
Factor 3: Stability of Business Model & Financial Structure (10%)		
Ability and Willingness to Pursue Opportunistic Corp. Activity	Baa	Baa
Ability and Willingness to Increase Leverage	Ba	Ba
Targeted Proportion of Op. Profit Outside Core Reg. Activities	Baa	Baa
Factor 4: Key Credit Metrics (40%) (3 Year Avg)		
(FFO + Interest) / Interest Expense (3-Year Average) [4]	4.2x	A
Net Debt / Fixed Assets (3 Year Avg)	27.0%	Aaa
FFO / Net Debt (3 Year Avg) [4]	27.1%	Aa
RCF / CAPEX (3 Year Avg)	0.6x	Ba
Rating:		
a) Indicated Rating from Grid		Baa3
b) Actual Rating Assigned		

View As of 1/19/2014	
Measure	Score
B	B
Aaa	Aaa
Ba	Ba
Ba	Ba
Ba	Ba
B	B
Baa	Baa
Ba	Ba
Baa	Baa
3.5x-4.0x	A
50%-55%	A
15%-20%	A
0.3x-0.5x	B
	Ba1
	Ba2

[1] All ratios are based on 'adjusted' financial data and incorporate Moody's Global Standard Adjustments for Non-Financial Corporations, if not mentioned otherwise. [2] As of 12/31/2012; Source: Moody's Financial Metrics [3] This represents Moody's forward view; not the view of the issuer; and unless noted in the text, does not incorporate significant acquisitions and divestitures. [4] FFO figures incorporate a non-standard adjustment (reclassification of cash advances for network connections).



© 2014 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATION") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY

ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.